

# **Evaluation of Some Plant Parasitic Nematode Associated with Lima Bean (***Phaseolus Lunatus***)**

Elufisan, T. S., Adebayo, A. K. and Oyedokun, M. O

Institute of Agricultural Research and Training, Obafemi Awolowo University, Nigeria.

E-mail: tobielufisan@gmail.com

Received October 25, 2024 Accepted for publication November 30, 2024 Published January 16 2025

## Abstract

Plant-parasitic nematodes significantly impact agricultural systems, particularly legumes such as lima beans (*Phaseolus lunatus*), which are highly susceptible to infestation. A field study was conducted at the Institute of Agricultural Research and Training, Ibadan, Nigeria, (latitude at 07<sup>0</sup> 22''N, 3<sup>0</sup> 50''E) South West Nigeria, in 2022 and 2023 respectively to evaluated the effects of plant-parasitic nematodes on fifteen lima bean landraces collected from four Nigerian states (Osun, Ondo, Ekiti, and Oyo). The landraces were grown in a naturally nematode infested field. Nematode populations were assessed at pre-planting and harvest maturity, with soil samples collected to a depth of 0–30 cm. Insect control was achieved using lambda cyhalothrin, applied during flowering and poding. Growth, yield, and nematode parameters, including gall numbers data were collected and analysed using ANOVA and Pearson's correlation in STAR © 2013 software. The results *Meloidogyne* spp. populations, while Tede Oyo showed the lowest, suggesting better resistance. Erin Ijesha recorded the highest *Pratylenchus* spp. populations, while Aisegba had the lowest. *Helicotylenchus* spp. populations were consistently lowest in Aisegba across both years. Growth and yield parameters also varied significantly, with Ikogusi Ekiti achieving the highest shoot weights and Budo Bank Ago Amudu demonstrating superior root health. Early flowering was observed in Tede Oyo and Erin Ijesha, while Ikogusi Ekiti and Erin Ijesha had the longest pods and highest seed counts in 2023.

Keywords: Lima bean, Plant parasitic nematodes, Meloidogyne, Pratylenchus, Helicotylenchus, Nematode management

## Introduction

Lima bean (*Phaseolus lunatus* L.), a leguminous crop of significant nutritional value, holds untapped potential in addressing food and nutrition security challenges in sub-Saharan Africa. In Nigeria, however, lima bean cultivation remains underutilized despite its high protein (23%) and fiber (6%) content, as well as its suitability for cultivation in low-fertility soils and drought-prone regions. While this crop can play a vital role in enhancing dietary diversity and resilience to climate change, its production is constrained by several factors, including susceptibility to plant-parasitic nematode. In Nigeria, lima beans are recognized as an underutilized crop, offering substantial potential for enhancing food and nutrition security. The term underutilized refers to their limited commercial cultivation, low awareness among farmers, and lack of presence in national agricultural systems and also reflects the potential for expanding their role in the Nigerian diet, where protein demand continues to grow amid increasing population pressure [1]. Despite these nutritional benefits, lima beans remain less prevalent in Nigeria compared to other legumes such as cowpeas and soybeans [2]. Furthermore, their



resilience to poor soils and climate variability presents a promising opportunity for food security, especially in drought-prone and low-fertility regions according to Sanni et al. [3]. However, challenges such as limited awareness, lack of improved varieties, and vulnerability to pests like nematodes restrict their widespread adoption.

Nematode infestations, particularly plant-parasitic nematodes, pose a significant threat to lima bean production. Despite the high relevance of nematodes to food security, there is limited research on the species affecting lima beans in Nigeria according to Olanrewaju et al. [4]. Plant-parasitic nematodes are among the most destructive pests in agriculture, causing yield losses estimated at 12–15% in legume crops globally as reported by Jones et al. [5]. These pests, particularly *Meloidogyne* spp. (root-knot nematodes), are known to stunt growth, reduce productivity, and in severe cases, lead to plant mortality [6]. The limited awareness of nematode infestation and the absence of targeted pest management strategies for lima beans further exacerbate the problem in Nigeria according to Adegbite et al. [7]. Moreover, the specific nematode species affecting lima beans, their prevalence, and their impact on crop yield in the diverse agro-ecological zones of South-West Nigeria remain poorly documented as reported by Coyne et al. [8]. This research aims to address this gap by identifying nematode species impacting lima beans, assessing their prevalence across different agro-ecological zones of Nigeria, and evaluating their effects on Lima bean growth and yield. Therefore, this study was carried out to identify plant-parasitic nematodes affecting lima beans across South-West Nigeria and assess their impact on the growth and yield of the crop.

## **Materials and Methods**

## Experimental site and source of Experimental Materials

A field experiment was conducted during the early seasons of 2022 and 2023 at the Institute of Agricultural Research and Training farm in Ibadan (latitude 07°22'N, longitude 03°50'E) within the derived savannah/transition agro-ecology of Southwest Nigeria. The site sits at an altitude of 122 meters above sea level, with an average annual rainfall of 1220 mm and a mean temperature of 26°C. For the study, fifteen lima bean landraces were collected during a survey from four states in South-West Nigeria namely Ondo State (Ita ogbolu, Iju, Oba -Ile, Ogbese), Ekiti State (Aisegba, Ikogosi, Aramoko, Agbado), Osun State (Erin Ijesa, Esa-oke, Iwaraja, Otan-Ile) Oyo State (Igboho, Iseyin, Ago-Amodu, Tede) the method adopted were participatory rural appraisal and field survey. This approach involves engaging local communities to gather both qualitative and quantitative data through direct field surveys, farmer interviews and questionnaires. It combines traditional knowledge with scientific observations to assess cultivation practices, challenges, and trends.

## Land preparation

Land preparation was carried out by manual slashing of the experimental site followed by mechanical ploughing and harrowing. The nematode population present in the soil was taken at pre-planting, mid-season and at harvest maturity. Five cores of soil samples were randomly collected from each plot with soil auger to a depth of 0-30 cm and bulked together to determine the initial and final nematode population in the soil. Lima been seeds were sown directly on the field.

## Nematode Identification and Soil Analyses

Soil samples were collected for extracting nematodes in random sampling pattern from each plots early in the morning. Six soil core samples were randomly collected per plot at a depth of 0–30 cm and 2–4 cm away using a soil auger, then combined to form a composite sample. The samples were sealed in labeled polyethylene bags and taken to the laboratory for nematode extraction and identification. Each composite sample was thoroughly mixed to ensure uniformity, and a 250 g subsample was taken to determine the nematode population in the soil using [9]. The nematode extractor tissue paper was placed between two 15 cm-diameter plastic sieves, which

were set in a 25 cm-diameter bowl. Each 250 g soil portion was evenly spread in the sieves, labeled, and arranged in the laboratory. Debris and pebbles were removed, and soil clumps were broken up to facilitate nematode movement into the water. Two hundred milliliters (200 ml) of water were carefully added to the bowl, and the setup was left undisturbed for 24 hours, after which the nematode suspension was poured into a 500 ml Nalgene bottle. Additional water was added to reach the marked fill line, and the bottle was left for 5 hours to allow the nematodes to settle. Excess water was removed using 3 mm rubber tubing. The concentrated 15 ml nematode suspension was transferred into a McCartney bottle and refrigerated for identification and population counting. The nematode population was estimated using a Doncaster counting dish (1962) under a stereomicroscope at 250x magnification, with 1 ml of the suspension pipetted into the dish for examination.

S/N	Lima bean landraces	Seed coat	Source of collection	LGA
		colour		
1	Tede Oyo 1	Brown	Oyo State	Atisbo LGA
2	Erin Ijesha 1	Brown	Osun State	Oriade LGA
3	Budo Bank Ago Amudu	Brown	Oyo State	Saki East LGA
4	Atisbo Oyo	White	Oyo State	Atisbo LGA
5	Arugbadagbe	Brown	Osun State	Saki East LGA
6	Tede Oyo 3	Dark Brown	Oyo State	Atisbo LGA
7	Agbado Ekiti	White	Ekiti State	Gbonyin LGA
8	Pepelupe Red	Red	Oyo State	Atisbo LGA
9	Iseyin	Cream	Oyo State	Iseyin LGA
10	Aramoko Ekiti	Brown	Ekiti State	Ekiti West LGA
11	Ikogusi Ekiti	Brown	Ekiti State	Ekiti West LGA
12	Pepelupe Brick Red	Dark Red	Oyo State	Atisbo LGA
13	Tede Oyo 2	Mottled	Oyo State	Atisbo LGA
		Brown		
14	lju Ekiti	Cream	Ekiti State	lfedayo LGA
15	Aisegba	White	Ekiti State	Gbonyin LGA

Table 1. Source of fifteen Lima bean	Landraces multiplied at IAR&T	, Ibadan during the 2022/2023 cropping
season		

## Experimental Layout

The field experiment was set up in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) replicated three times. The experimental plots size were divided into plots measuring 4 m  $\times$  3 m (12 m<sup>2</sup>) with a 2 m alley between plots. Lima bean was sown at 1 m x 1 m spacing between rows and 75 cm  $\times$  25 cm within rows, with two seeds sown per hole. Lima bean plants were stalked one week after seedling emergence. Insect pests was controlled with three applications of Lambda cyhalothrin (Karate; 2ml/litre) once at flowering and twice at podding. Weeding was done manually as and when necessary, throughout the experimental period.

## Data Collection

Data were collected on growth, yield parameter (pod length, 100 seed weight and total yield per plot) and nematological parameters (nematode population, number of galls, and gall index) were recorded from each plot.

### Data analysis

All data were analyzed using analysis of variance and Pearson's correlation test with the Statistical Tool for Agricultural Research (STAR) © 2013. Significant means were separated using the Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at 5% level of probability.



Plate 1: Lima bean planted on the field

## Results

## Mean of different nematodes population

Lima bean landrace Aramoko Ekiti had the highest population in both years, with 9830.00 in 2022 and 9540.00 in 2023 (Table 2). This suggests Aramoko Ekiti is particularly susceptible to *Meloidogyne* spp. landrace Tede oyo had the lowest population in both years (5923.33 in 2022 and 5483.33 in 2023), indicating it may have lower susceptibility or better resistance against *Meloidogyne* spp. Landrace Erin Ijesha consistently showed the highest population across both years, with 1872.00 in 2022 and 1532.60 in 2023, indicating significant susceptibility to *Pratylenchus* spp. Landrace Aisegba had the lowest population in 2022 (1044.30), with a slight increase in 2023 (1176.00) (Table 2).This suggests a relatively lower susceptibility to *Pratylenchus* spp. Landrace Agbado Ekiti had an exceptionally high population in 2022 (15833.00), dropping to 1113.33 in 2023. This suggests Agbado Ekiti might have high susceptibility but also shows a significant reduction, perhaps due to control measures or environmental factors. Landrace Aisegba showed the lowest populations across both years (1125.00 in 2022 and 829.00 in 2023), indicating it has a lower susceptibility or higher resistance to *Helicotylenchus* spp. Across all nematode species, there is an observable trend of population decrease from 2022 to 2023. (Table 2). This trend may result from improved management practices, crop rotation, resistance traits in the plants, or changes in environmental conditions.

Mean final egg population, number of galls and gall index in roots

The study revealed varying levels of nematode susceptibility among lima bean landraces (Table 3). In 2022, Iju Ekiti had the highest nematode egg population (8103.33), while in 2023, Aramako Ekiti led with 7443.33, followed by Pepelupe red and Iju Ekiti. Conversely, Budo Bank Ago Amudu consistently showed the lowest egg counts across both years. High gall counts were observed in Pepelupe brick red and Iju Ekiti in 2022, with Pepelupe brick red remaining high in 2023. Tede Oyo displayed better resistance, with the lowest gall counts in both years (22.33 in 2022 and 17.33 in 2023) Generally, egg populations and gall indices showed slight reductions from 2022 to 2023, potentially due to improved resistance or environmental factors. Most landraces displayed a high gall index (4.00), except Tede Oyo and Erin Ijesha, which showed minor improvements in resistance to galling in 2023. These findings suggest variability in nematode susceptibility among lima bean landraces.

	Meloidogyne spp.		Pratylenchus spp.		Helicotylenchus spp.	
Landraces	2022	2023	2022	2023	2022	2023
A1	5923.33 <sup>e</sup>	5483.33 <sup>d</sup>	1451.00 <sup>bcd</sup>	1111.33 <sup>bcd</sup>	1342.00 <sup>bcd</sup>	1002.00 <sup>bc</sup>
A2	7907.67 <sup>abcde</sup>	7644.67 <sup>abcd</sup>	1872.00 <sup>a</sup>	1532.6ª	1746.00a	1406.00ª
A3	6473.33 <sup>bcde</sup>	5790.00 <sup>d</sup>	1176.00 <sup>de</sup>	836.00 <sup>cd</sup>	1196.00 <sup>cd</sup>	856.00 <sup>c</sup>
A4	7453.33 <sup>bcde</sup>	6886.67 <sup>bcd</sup>	1161.33 <sup>de</sup>	861.33 <sup>cd</sup>	1064.00 <sup>d</sup>	844.00 <sup>c</sup>
A5	8186.67 <sup>abcd</sup>	6773.33 <sup>bcd</sup>	1488.00 <sup>bcd</sup>	1188.00 <sup>abc</sup>	1306.67 <sup>bcd</sup>	1086.67 <sup>abc</sup>
A6	7613.33 <sup>bcde</sup>	7263.33 <sup>abcd</sup>	1632.00 <sup>ab</sup>	1332.00 <sup>ab</sup>	1553.33 <sup>ab</sup>	1333.33 <sup>ab</sup>
A7	8610.00 <sup>abcd</sup>	8260.00 <sup>abc</sup>	1642.00 <sup>ab</sup>	1342.00 <sup>ab</sup>	15833.00 <sup>ab</sup>	1113.33 <sup>abc</sup>
A8	6700.00 <sup>cde</sup>	6350.00 <sup>cd</sup>	1580.00 <sup>abc</sup>	1140.00 <sup>bcd</sup>	1470.00 <sup>abc</sup>	1000.00 <sup>bc</sup>
A9	8920.00 <sup>ab</sup>	8630.00 <sup>abc</sup>	1248.00 <sup>cde</sup>	808.00 <sup>d</sup>	1179.33 <sup>cd</sup>	979.33°
A10	9830.00 <sup>a</sup>	9540.0ª	1370.67 <sup>bcde</sup>	930.67 <sup>cd</sup>	1292.00 <sup>bcd</sup>	1092.00 <sup>abc</sup>
A11	9040.67 <sup>ab</sup>	8756.67 <sup>ab</sup>	1416.00 <sup>bcde</sup>	976.00 <sup>cd</sup>	1302.00 <sup>bcd</sup>	1102.00 <sup>abc</sup>
A12	7293.33 <sup>bcde</sup>	7003.33 <sup>bcd</sup>	1516.00 <sup>abcd</sup>	1076.00 <sup>bcd</sup>	1416.67 <sup>abc</sup>	1120.67 <sup>abc</sup>
A13	8823.33 <sup>abc</sup>	8303.33 <sup>abc</sup>	1414.67 <sup>bcde</sup>	1018.67 <sup>bcd</sup>	1396.67 <sup>bcd</sup>	1100.67 <sup>abc</sup>
A14	8906.67 <sup>ab</sup>	8386.67 <sup>abc</sup>	1256.00 <sup>cde</sup>	1136.00 <sup>bcd</sup>	1276.67 <sup>bcd</sup>	980.67 <sup>c</sup>
A15	8773.33 <sup>abc</sup>	8253.33 <sup>abc</sup>	1044.30 <sup>e</sup>	1176.00 <sup>bc</sup>	1125.00 <sup>cd</sup>	829.00 <sup>c</sup>
S. E±	52.66	61.47	15.43	13.80	13.06	13.06

 Table 2: Mean of Nematode Population on 15 Lima bean Landraces Evaluated in Ibadan during 2022 and 2023.

Means along the same column with different alphabet are significantly different (p≤0.05) from each other A1 Tede oyo 1; A2: Erin Ijesha 1; A3: Budo Bank Ago Amudu; A4: Atisbo Oyo; A5: Arugbadagbe; A6: Tede Oyo 3; A7: Agbado Ekiti; A8: Pepelupe red; A9: Iseyin; A10: Aramoko Ekiti; A11: Ikogusi Ekiti; A12: Pepelupe brick red; A13: Tede oyo 2; A14: Iju Ekiti; A15: Aisegba; S.E: Standard Error

	Egg Population		Number of Galls		Gall Ind	ex
Landraces	2022	2023	2022	2023	2022	2023
A1	6836.67 <sup>bcd</sup>	6396.67 <sup>abc</sup>	22.33 <sup>c</sup>	17.33 <sup>d</sup>	3.00 <sup>b</sup>	3.0 <sup>b</sup>
A2	6710.00 <sup>bcde</sup>	6270.00 <sup>abcd</sup>	35.67 <sup>ab</sup>	30.67 <sup>abc</sup>	4.00ª	3.33 <sup>ab</sup>
A3	5553.33 <sup>e</sup>	5113.33 <sup>cd</sup>	36.00 <sup>ab</sup>	29.00 <sup>c</sup>	4.00ª	3.33 <sup>ab</sup>
A4	5663.33 <sup>e</sup>	5313.33 <sup>cd</sup>	40.67 <sup>ab</sup>	33.67 <sup>abc</sup>	4.00 <sup>a</sup>	4.00 <sup>a</sup>
A5	7223.33 <sup>abc</sup>	6873.33 <sup>ab</sup>	44.33 <sup>ab</sup>	37.33 <sup>abc</sup>	4.00 <sup>a</sup>	4.00 <sup>a</sup>
A6	6523.33 <sup>cde</sup>	6173.33 <sup>abcd</sup>	44.00 <sup>ab</sup>	39.00 <sup>abc</sup>	4.00ª	4.00ª
A7	7513.33 <sup>abc</sup>	6993.33 <sup>ab</sup>	34.00 <sup>b</sup>	29.00 <sup>c</sup>	4.00ª	4.00ª
A8	7786.67 <sup>ab</sup>	7266.67ª	43.33 <sup>ab</sup>	39.00 <sup>abc</sup>	4.00 <sup>a</sup>	4.00 <sup>a</sup>
A9	5735.33 <sup>de</sup>	5515.33 <sup>cd</sup>	44.33 <sup>ab</sup>	39.33 <sup>bc</sup>	4.00ª	4.00ª
A10	7663.33 <sup>abc</sup>	7443.33ª	38.33 <sup>ab</sup>	34.33 <sup>abc</sup>	4.00ª	4.00ª
A11	6543.33 <sup>cde</sup>	6323.33 <sup>abcd</sup>	44.00 <sup>ab</sup>	40.00 <sup>ab</sup>	4.00ª	4.00ª
A12	5898.67 <sup>de</sup>	5678.67 <sup>bcd</sup>	45.00ª	41.00ª	4.00ª	4.00ª
A13	7685.33 <sup>abc</sup>	6326.67 <sup>abcd</sup>	40.0 <sup>ab</sup>	36.00 <sup>abc</sup>	4.00ª	4.00ª
A14	8103.33ª	6200.00 <sup>abcd</sup>	45.67ª	39.67 <sup>abc</sup>	4.00ª	4.00ª
A15	6641.33 <sup>cde</sup>	5043.33 <sup>d</sup>	36.00 <sup>ab</sup>	30.00 <sup>bc</sup>	4.00ª	3.67 <sup>ab</sup>
	14.83					0.069
S. E±		19.29	12.91	12.94	2.63	

Means along the same column with different alphabet are significantly different (p≤0.05) from each other. A1 Tede oyo 1; A2: Erin Ijesha 1; A3: Budo Bank Ago Amudu; A4: Atisbo Oyo; A5: Arugbadagbe; A6: Tede Oyo 3; A7: Agbado Ekiti; A8: Pepelupe red; A9: Iseyin; A10: Aramoko Ekiti; A11: Ikogusi Ekiti; A12: Pepelupe brick red; A13: Tede oyo 2; A14: Iju Ekiti; A15: Aisegba; S.E: Standard Error

	Fresh Shoot	Weight (g)	Fresh Root	Weight (g)
Landraces	2022	2023	2022	2023
A1	17.73 <sup>bc</sup>	15.03 <sup>bc</sup>	15.00 <sup>ab</sup>	12.30 <sup>ab</sup>
A2	26.27 <sup>ab</sup>	23.57 <sup>ab</sup>	11.20 <sup>ab</sup>	8.50 <sup>ab</sup>
A3	21.00 <sup>bc</sup>	18.30 <sup>abc</sup>	16.80 <sup>a</sup>	14.10 <sup>a</sup>
A4	15.43 <sup>c</sup>	12.73 <sup>c</sup>	9.00 <sup>b</sup>	6.30 <sup>b</sup>
A5	23.20 <sup>abc</sup>	20.50 <sup>abc</sup>	12.16 <sup>ab</sup>	9.47 <sup>ab</sup>
A6	18.00 <sup>bc</sup>	14.90 <sup>bc</sup>	14.77 <sup>ab</sup>	11.87 <sup>ab</sup>
A7	16.43 <sup>bc</sup>	13.33 <sup>c</sup>	9.63 <sup>b</sup>	6.73 <sup>b</sup>
A8	17.17 <sup>bc</sup>	14.07 <sup>bc</sup>	9.43 <sup>b</sup>	6.53 <sup>b</sup>
A9	20.80 <sup>bc</sup>	17.70 <sup>bc</sup>	13.00 <sup>ab</sup>	10.10 <sup>ab</sup>
A10	15.57 <sup>c</sup>	11.11 <sup>c</sup>	14.96 <sup>ab</sup>	9.12 <sup>ab</sup>
A11	30.78ª	28.17ª	12.98 <sup>ab</sup>	12.80 <sup>ab</sup>
A12	22.73 <sup>abc</sup>	19.43 <sup>abc</sup>	14.60 <sup>ab</sup>	11.50 <sup>ab</sup>
A13	15.30 <sup>c</sup>	12.00 <sup>c</sup>	12.77 <sup>ab</sup>	9.67 <sup>ab</sup>
A14	17.00 <sup>bc</sup>	13.70 <sup>bc</sup>	14.43 <sup>ab</sup>	11.33 <sup>ab</sup>
A15	21.53 <sup>abc</sup>	18.23 <sup>abc</sup>	14.10 <sup>ab</sup>	11.00 <sup>ab</sup>
S. E±	10.88	10.88	4.76	4.78

#### Table 4: Mean Performance of 15 Lima Bean Landraces Assessed in Ibadan in 2022 and 2023

Means along the same column with different alphabet are significantly different (p≤0.05) from each other. A1 Tede oyo 1; A2: Erin Ijesha 1; A3: Budo Bank Ago Amudu; A4: Atisbo Oyo; A5: Arugbadagbe; A6: Tede Oyo 3; A7: Agbado Ekiti; A8: Pepelupe red; A9: Iseyin; A10: Aramoko Ekiti; A11: Ikogusi Ekiti; A12: Pepelupe brick red; A13: Tede oyo 2; A14: Iju Ekiti; A15: Aisegba; S.E: Standard Error

## Mean plant weight, fresh shoot and root weight (g)

In 2022 and 2023, landrace Ikogusi Ekiti consistently exhibited the highest shoot weight, recording 30.78 and 28.17, respectively (Table 4). Other top performing landraces included Erin Ijesha 1, Arugbadagbe, Budo Bank Ago Amudu and Pepelupe brick red, which generally displayed higher shoot weights across both years. In contract, landraces Atisbo Oyo, Agbado Ekiti, Pepeplupe red, Aramoko Ekiti and Tede Oyo 2 had consistently lower shoot weights, with Aramoko Ekiti showing a notable decline to 11.11 in 2023.

Landrace Budo Bank Ago Amudu demonstrated exceptional root health, recording the highest root weights in both years (16.80 in 2022 and 14.10 in 2023). Conversely, landraces Atisbo Oyo, Agbado Ekiti and Pepelupe red had some of the lowest root weights, such as Atisbo Oyo's decline from 9.00 in 2022 to 6.30 in 2023. A general trend of slightly lower weights across most Landraces was observed in 2023 compared to 2022, suggesting potential environmental or growing condition changes (Table 4)

	Pod length	Pod length (cm)		No Seed/pod		50%F
Landraces	2022	2023	2022	2023	2022	2023
A1	7.12 <sup>b</sup>	7.52 <sup>b</sup>	3.62 <sup>b</sup>	3.72 <sup>b</sup>	82.23 <sup>h</sup>	81.52 <sup>k</sup>
A2	7.65 <sup>ab</sup>	7.84ª	4.43ª	4.66ª	80.51 <sup>i</sup>	80.25 <sup>1</sup>
A3	5.67 <sup>cde</sup>	6.01 <sup>ef</sup>	3.00 <sup>cde</sup>	3.11 <sup>de</sup>	90.45 <sup>f</sup>	91.76 <sup>f</sup>
A4	5.88 <sup>cd</sup>	5.23 <sup>g</sup>	2.63 <sup>ef</sup>	2.78 <sup>f</sup>	97.34 <sup>c</sup>	96.78 <sup>d</sup>
A5	5.11 <sup>e</sup>	5.22 <sup>g</sup>	2.65 <sup>ef</sup>	2.76 <sup>f</sup>	100.00 <sup>b</sup>	101.56 <sup>b</sup>
A6	6.00 <sup>c</sup>	6.57 <sup>c</sup>	3.26 <sup>bcd</sup>	3.43 <sup>c</sup>	97.78d	97.22°
A7	6.11 <sup>c</sup>	6.46 <sup>cd</sup>	3.38 <sup>bc</sup>	3.56 <sup>c</sup>	94.67 <sup>d</sup>	93.45 <sup>e</sup>
A8	6.23 <sup>c</sup>	5.27 <sup>g</sup>	3.02 <sup>cde</sup>	3.11 <sup>de</sup>	91.00 <sup>ef</sup>	90.43 <sup>h</sup>
A9	5.00 <sup>e</sup>	5.27 <sup>g</sup>	2.41 <sup>f</sup>	2.55 <sup>g</sup>	91.24 <sup>e</sup>	91.32 <sup>g</sup>
A10	5.00 <sup>e</sup>	5.98 <sup>f</sup>	2.84 <sup>def</sup>	2.99 <sup>e</sup>	102.46ª	102.56ª
A11	7.84ª	7.96ª	4.53ª	4.78ª	83.11 <sup>g</sup>	82.65j
A12	7.10 <sup>b</sup>	7.37 <sup>b</sup>	3.33 <sup>bcd</sup>	3.49°	80.56 <sup>i</sup>	80.10 <sup>i</sup>
A13	6.01 <sup>cd</sup>	6.67 <sup>d</sup>	2.98 <sup>cde</sup>	3.10 <sup>de</sup>	90.67 <sup>ef</sup>	90.56 <sup>h</sup>
A14	5.88 <sup>cd</sup>	6.17 <sup>e</sup>	2.90 <sup>cdef</sup>	3.21 <sup>d</sup>	90.33 <sup>f</sup>	90.45 <sup>h</sup>
A15	6.32c	6.54 <sup>cd</sup>	3.75 <sup>b</sup>	3.83 <sup>b</sup>	91.25 <sup>e</sup>	90.11 <sup>i</sup>
S. E±	0.14	0.13	0.09	0.09	1.00	1.02

## Table 5: Mean of Growth and Yield Parameters of 15 Lima Bean Landraces Assessed in Ibadan in 2022 and 2023.

Means along the same column with different alphabet are significantly different (p≤0.05) from each other. A1 Tede oyo 1; A2: Erin Ijesha 1; A3: Budo Bank Ago Amudu; A4: Atisbo Oyo; A5: Arugbadagbe; A6: Tede Oyo 3; A7: Agbado Ekiti; A8: Pepelupe red; A9: Iseyin; A10: Aramoko Ekiti; A11: Ikogusi Ekiti; A12: Pepelupe brick red; A13: Tede oyo 2; A14: Iju Ekiti; A15: Aisegba; S.E: Standard Error. F: Flowering; No: Number

TRT	Pod length (cm)	NSP	50%F	
Year				-
2022	6.17 <sup>b</sup>	3.24 <sup>b</sup>	90.90ª	
2023	6.47ª	3.49ª	90.64 <sup>b</sup>	
Landraces				
A1	7.32 <sup>b</sup>	3.70 <sup>bc</sup>	81.87 <sup>i</sup>	
A2	7.74ª	4.54 <sup>a</sup>	80.38 <sup>j</sup>	
A3	5.84 <sup>fe</sup>	3.05 <sup>e</sup>	91.10 <sup>f</sup>	
A4	5.11 <sup>g</sup>	2.70 <sup>fg</sup>	97.06 <sup>d</sup>	
A5	5.16 <sup>g</sup>	2.70 <sup>fg</sup>	100.28 <sup>b</sup>	
A6	6.40 <sup>c</sup>	3.34 <sup>d</sup>	97.50°	
A7	6.28 <sup>cd</sup>	3.47 <sup>cd</sup>	94.06 <sup>e</sup>	
A8	6.39 <sup>c</sup>	3.06 <sup>e</sup>	90.71 <sup>g</sup>	
A9	5.13 <sup>g</sup>	2.48 <sup>g</sup>	91.28 <sup>f</sup>	
A10	5.66 <sup>f</sup>	2.91 <sup>ef</sup>	102.51ª	
A11	7.90ª	4.65ª	82.88 <sup>h</sup>	
A12	7.23 <sup>b</sup>	3.41 <sup>d</sup>	80.33 <sup>j</sup>	
A13	6.19 <sup>cd</sup>	3.04 <sup>e</sup>	90.39 <sup>g</sup>	
A14	6.02 <sup>de</sup>	3.05 <sup>e</sup>	90.39 <sup>g</sup>	
A15	6.43 <sup>c</sup>	3.79 <sup>b</sup>	90.68 <sup>g</sup>	
S. E±	0.09	0.06	0.71	

Means along the same column with different alphabet are significantly different (p≤0.05) from each other. A1 Tede oyo 1; A2: Erin Ijesha 1; A3: Budo Bank Ago Amudu; A4: Atisbo Oyo; A5: Arugbadagbe; A6: Tede Oyo 3; A7: Agbado Ekiti; A8: Pepelupe red; A9: Iseyin; A10: Aramoko Ekiti; A11: Ikogusi Ekiti; A12: Pepelupe brick red; A13: Tede oyo 2; A14: Iju Ekiti; A15: Aisegba; S.E: Standard ErrorPL: Pod length, NSP: Number of seed/pods; 50%F: 50% flowering.

### Mean 50% flowering, pod length and number of seed

The landraces exhibited significant differences in both growth and yield data in the study. The number of days to 50% flowering varied between 80.51 to 102.46 in 2022 and from 80.25 to 102.56 in 2023 (Table 5). The landrace Atisbo Oyo had the longest pod length in both years (7.12 cm in 2022 and 7.52 cm in 2023), while Arugbadagbe and Aramoko Ekiti had the shortest pod lengths (5.00 cm) in 2022. Landraces Erin Ijesha 1 and Ikogusi Ekiti also exhibited high pod lengths (7.65 cm and 7.84 cm, respectively) in 2023, indicating that these landraces may have superior growth characteristics compared to others. The least landrace to attain 50% flowering in both years of study were those obtained from Aramoko Ekiti and Arugbadabe, respectively. The landraces that flowered earlier were those obtained from Tede Oyo, Erin Ijesha, and Pepelupe Brick Red. The 2023 study revealed that the landraces from Ikogusi Ekiti, Erin Ijesha 1, and Tede Oyo exhibited the longest pod lengths, measuring 7.96 cm, 7.84 cm, and 7.52 cm, respectively. In contrast, landraces from Atisbo Oyo (5.23 cm) and Arugbadagbe (5.32 cm) had the shortest pod lengths (Table 5). In 2023, the length of the lima bean pod (6.47 cm) and the number of seeds/pod (3.49) were considerably greater than in 2022 (pod length: 6.17 cm, seed/pod: 3.24), respectively (Table 5).

#### Discussion

The presence of multiple nematode species in lima bean fields indicates a complex interaction between the host plant and various parasitic nematodes. The combined effects of *Meloidogyne* spp., *Pratylenchus* spp., and *Helicotylenchus* spp. resulted in notable reductions in lima bean yield, underscoring the importance of managing these nematodes in production. Of the three, *Meloidogyne* spp. were the most prevalent and damaging, causing severe root galls that disrupted water and nutrient flow, leading to stunted growth and significantly reduced yields [10]. In heavily infested lima bean plants, yield losses were particularly severe, with some plants producing fewer pods. *Pratylenchus* spp. also contributed to yield losses, though to a lesser extent, as its root lesions weakened root systems and increased susceptibility to secondary infections, compounding overall plant damage. Although *Helicotylenchus* spp. also contributed to minor yield reductions, by feeding on root epidermal cells and reducing root growth [11]. Given these interactions, adopting integrated nematode management strategies is essential, particularly prioritizing the management of *Meloidogyne* spp. through crop rotation, biological control, and resistant varieties [10].

Furthermore, significant year-to-year variation in lima bean yield parameters suggests that environmental conditions in 2023 were more favorable for production than those in 2022. The study's findings demonstrate that factors such as optimal temperature and moisture in 2023 positively influenced the landraces' performance, leading to higher yields [12]. Early flowering landraces, which complete their reproductive cycle sooner, may better allocate resources during critical growth stages, allowing them to take advantage of favorable climatic conditions and achieve higher yields in drought prone or short-growing seasons. Previous studies support that early flowering landraces allocate more energy towards seed development during favorable periods, increasing pod set and seed size according to Sweta et al. [13] and Agbeleye et al. [14]. The number and size of seeds, as well as pod length, were significant factors in yield variation, with longer pods containing densely packed, large seeds contributing to higher seed yield. Recent advancements in genome-editing technologies, particularly CRISPR/Cas systems, have opened avenues for improving nematode resistance in crops, including lima beans. For example, CRISPR/Cas9 has been used to target genes that nematodes exploit for infection, such as susceptibility genes, to enhance resistance in crops like rice and soybean as reported by Usovsky et al. [15]. These tools enable precise genetic modifications, reducing the reliance on chemical nematicides and enhancing crop resilience. Nematodes such as Meloidogyne spp., Pratylenchus spp., and Helicotylenchus spp. significantly reduce lima bean yields through root damage, with environmental factors also playing a critical role. Higher yields were linked to favorable conditions for early-flowering landraces, highlighting the potential of selecting landraces with early flowering traits and longer, seed-filled pods to boost production, especially in regions with

short growing seasons or drought stress. Farmers should adopt integrated nematode management practices to reduce nematode populations, while breeders should focus on developing resistant lima bean varieties. Scientists and researchers should also prioritize understanding nematode resistance mechanisms and identifying genotypes suited to diverse agroecological conditions. Collaborative efforts between farmers, breeders, scientists, and policymakers are vital for safeguarding lima bean production and ensuring food security in the face of nematode threats.

### **Author's Contribution**

The research methodology and investigation were carried out by Elufisan Tobi Susan while agronomic data and analysis was carried out by Adebayo Adeyinka Kehinde. Research survey and collection of germplasm was also carried out by Oyedokun Margret.

## Acknowledgements

The authors wish to express their gratitude to all the field staff at the Institute of Agricultural Research and Training for their support during the field trial.

### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that there are no known conflicts of interest related to this publication.

#### References

- IITA. Lima Bean: A Potential Crop for Food Security in Nigeria. International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA); 2021. Available from: https://www.iita.org/news-item/orphan-legumes-key-to-foodsecurity-in-africa
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2019: Safeguarding Against Economic Slowdowns and Downturns. FAO, Rome, Italy. 2019. Available from: https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/nutritionlibrary/publications/state-food-securitynutrition-2019-en.pdf
- **3.** Sanni L, Olaniyi O, Owolabi O. Climate Change and Food Security in Nigeria: The Role of Indigenous Vegetables. *Sustainability*. 2022. 14 (14):8637. Available from: DOI link: 10.3390/su14148637.
- 4. Olanrewaju OS, Oluwaseyi OA, Aladejana AA. Genetic diversity and environmental influences on the growth and yield parameters of Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea*). *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 2021. 12: 796352. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/ 357172531\_Genetic\_Diversity\_and\_Environmental\_Influence\_on\_Growth\_and\_Yield\_Parameters\_of\_B ambara\_Groundnut
- Jones J T, Haegeman A, Danchin EG, Gaur HS, Helder J, Jones MG, Kikuchi T. Top 10 plant-parasitic nematodes in molecular plant pathology. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 2013, 14 (9): 946 -961.Availabe from: https://bsppjournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/mpp.12057
- 6. Williamson VM, Hussey RS. Nematode pathogenesis and resistance in plants. *The Plant Cell*; 1996. 8(10), 1735 -1745. Availabe from: https://research.amanote.com/publication/lo1m03mbkqvf Obhieifg/nematode-pathogenesis-and-resistance-in-plants
- Adegbite AA, Agbaje G O. Efficacy of furadan in controlling root-knot nematode (*Meloidogyne incognita*) under field conditions. *African Journal of Biotechnology*; 2005. 4 (4), 362 -365. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/237439487\_Efficacy\_of\_Furadan\_Carb

ofuran\_in\_Control\_of\_Rootknot\_Nematode\_Meloidogyne\_incognita\_Race\_2\_in\_Hybrid\_Yam\_Varieties \_in\_South-western\_Nigeria

- Coyne DL, Nicol JM, Claudius-Cole B. Practical plant nematology: A field and laboratory guide (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). CABI. 2018. Available from: https://repository.cimmyt.org/entities/publication/9ac86e51 -7330-45de-a2d8-34a27c41bfda
- **9.** Whitehead AG, Hemming JR. A comparison of some quantitative methods of extracting small vermiform nematode from soil. *Annals of Applied Biology*. 1965. 55 (1): 25-38. Available from: https://www.deepdyve.com/lp/wiley/a-comparison-of-some-quantitative-methods-of-extracting-small-w0aye4ttu0
- 10. Sikora R A, Fernandez E. Nematode parasites of vegetables. In M. Luc, R. A. Sikora, and J. Bridge (Eds.), *Plant Parasitic Nematodes in Subtropical and Tropical Agriculture*; 2005. 319-392. Available from: https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/parasitology/article/abs/plant-parasitic-nematodes-insubtropical-and-tropical-agriculture-2nd-edn-ed-luc-m-sikora-r-a-bridge j pp 896 cabi publishing uk 2005 isbn 0 85199 727 9 9950 us 19500/eb62c9af66b554f597cbd427a851297
- McSorley R. Adaptations of nematodes to environmental extremes. *Florida Entomologist*, 2003. 86 (2):138-142. Available from: https://bioone.org/journals/Florida-Entomologist/volume-86/issue-2/00154040(2003)086%5b0138:aontee%5d2.0.co;2/adaptations-of-nematodes-to-environmental-extremes/10.1653/0015-4040(2003)086%5b0138:aontee%5d2.0.co;2.full
- 12. Elhag AZ, Hussein AM. Effects of Sowing Date and Plant Population on Snap Bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) Growth and Pod Yield in Khartoum State. Universal Journal of Agricultural; 2014. 2 (3): 115 - 118. Available from: https://pdfs.semanticscholar.or g/28f3/0c5c170b6f44c2e5671038c93c83a4e04b1e.pdf
- **13.** Sweta G, Ekta N, Chanchal N, Madhavi B. Effect of Different Spacings on Flowering, Yield and Quality of Lima Bean (*Phaseolus lunatus L.*) *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science*. 2005. 6: 2036 2042. Available from: chrome extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclef indmkaj/https://www.ijcmas.com/special/6/Sweta%20Gawande,%20et%20al.pdf
- 14. Agbeleye O A, Adebayo A K, Olayinka R B, Ayoola O T. Evaluation of growth and yield components of Lima beans (*Phaseolus lunatus L*.) *Moor Journal of Agricultural Research*; 2019. 20: (2) 64 – 71. Available from: https://iart.gov.ng/moorjournal/index.php/mjar/article/view/124
- 15. Usovsky MU, Andrew S, Melissa M, Vinavi, G. Loss-of-function of an α-SNAP gene confers resistance to soybean cyst nematode. Nature Communications. 2023. 14: 11–14. Available from: DOI: 10.1038/s41467-023-43295-y